

Identification of the most suitable location for a change in crewing to Whole-time Retained or outright closure in the Liverpool District

The Liverpool district stations have been reviewed in order to determine the most appropriate station to effect a change in crewing from whole-time to whole-time retained or for outright closure.

In order to select the stations a number of levels of criteria have been used.

- Current use and contribution towards IRMP
- Incident use
- The impact of the change on overall performance against attendance standard
- Cost considerations

Current stations and use

| Station | Use - IRMP | Considered for WTR |
|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Kirkdale | PFI and National Resilience | No |
| City | Currently CPL location | Yes |
| Kensington | Possible | Yes |
| Allerton | Possible | Yes |
| Speke | Key location | No |
| Toxteth | Fire fit hub and IMT | No |
| Old Swan | Key location | No |
| Belle Vale | PFI | No |
| Aintree | Possible | Yes |
| Croxteth | National Resilience | No |

Possible locations

- City (M11P1, M11A2)
- Kensington (M12P1)
- Allerton (M13P1)
- Aintree (M18P1)

Incidents per Station

Each of the stations in question now only has a single pump has after the move to 28 appliances on 9th September 2013. The data sets below also show performance when two appliances were operating out of City and Kensington up to this point (M11P2 and M12P2). City also operates the combined platform ladder (CPL – M11A2) on a complementary crewed basis.

The authorised staffing for each of the 4 stations considered is 5 WMA and 19 FF – the actual staffing varies at individual stations due to long term sickness absence and other duties.

Incident Count by Station Ground

| Station Ground | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Grand Total |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| 11 - Liverpool City | 1483 | 1221 | 958 | 3662 |
| 12 - Kensington | 1137 | 946 | 929 | 3012 |
| 13 - Allerton | 513 | 396 | 280 | 1189 |
| 18 - Aintree | 875 | 641 | 569 | 2085 |

Appliance Mobilisation Count

| Appliance | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Grand Total |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| M11A2 | 1143 | 57 | 16 | 1216 |
| M11P1 | 408 | 544 | 770 | 1722 |
| M11P2 | 56 | 1195 | 758 | 2009 |
| M12P1 | 429 | 906 | 1034 | 2369 |
| M12P2 | 1843 | 200 | 56 | 2099 |
| M13P1 | 611 | 724 | 717 | 2052 |
| M18P1 | 909 | 982 | 1021 | 2912 |

Appliance Mobilisation Count excluding Standby

| Appliance | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | Grand Total |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| M11A2 | 1054 | 56 | 16 | 1126 |
| M11P1 | 371 | 512 | 709 | 1592 |
| M11P2 | 50 | 1110 | 694 | 1854 |
| M12P1 | 403 | 860 | 902 | 2165 |
| M12P2 | 1700 | 180 | 54 | 1934 |
| M13P1 | 535 | 611 | 534 | 1680 |
| M18P1 | 829 | 895 | 766 | 2490 |

City has the most incidents within its station ground and also responds on a complimentary crewed basis with the CPL (M11A2). Due to Kensington's proximity to City and similar mobilisation volumes, further more detailed analysis would be needed to determine a straight choice between these two locations based purely on the fire appliance. Due to the City being the CPL station an arbitrary choice has been made between the locations for the purposes of this study and City has not been considered further.

Predicted impact on performance (Information obtained from the FIRS Software)

Locations to be tested

| | | |
|----|---------------|--------------------------|
| 10 | Kirkdale | NOT TESTED – PFI/NR |
| 11 | City Centre | AERIAL APPLIANCE |
| 12 | Kensington | TESTED |
| 13 | Allerton | TESTED |
| 14 | Speke/Garston | NOT TESTED - KEY STATION |
| 15 | Toxteth | NOT TESTED - FIRE FIT |
| 16 | Old Swan | NOT TESTED - KEY STATION |
| 17 | Belle Vale | NOT TESTED - PFI |
| 18 | Aintree | TESTED |
| 19 | Croxteth | NOT TESTED - NR |

Staffing Model

If the appliance were converted to WT retained it would be used as a strategic reserve, whereby the appliance would be mobilised to duty only when the Service wide level of available resources reaches a predetermined level. If the station were closed outright the appliance would be redeployed to another location but still crewed WT retained.

In order to represent a worst case scenario and to highlight the impact of the proposed changes a decision was taken to model the impact of not having the appliance available.

Other Assumptions

The model used as the base case for comparison already incorporates the mergers proposed at Prescot, Greasby and St Helens, with the loss of 3 whole time appliances. In addition the second pumps at Southport and Kirkdale have also been removed from all scenarios. This analysis therefore considers the impact of this station with all the other proposed changes effected therefore at the services 'leanest response' levels.

Results

The results in all three cases tested are very similar. In all tests, a slight reduction in performance of between 0.9% and 1.5% was the result, with an increase in average attendance time of around 12 seconds.

| Scenario | Performance | Average Response Time |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| BASE CASE | 94.6% | 6.0 |
| Kensington closed | 93.5% | 6.2 |
| Allerton Closed | 93.1% | 6.2 |
| Aintree Closed | 93.7% | 6.2 |

This reduced performance is quantified by the following table showing the Service wide total for predicted annual number of additional failures to achieve the Response Standard of ten minutes.

| Scenario | Knowsley | | Liverpool | | Sefton | | St. Helens | | Wirral | |
|-------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------|---------------|
| | Perf | Ave Resp Time | Perf | Ave Resp Time | Perf | Ave Resp Time | Perf | Ave Resp Time | Perf | Ave Resp Time |
| BASE CASE | 94.5% | 6.2 | 96.5% | 5.9 | 93.0% | 6.2 | 92.3% | 6.5 | 93.0% | 6.0 |
| Kensington closed | 94.0% | 6.3 | 94.3% | 6.2 | 93.2% | 6.1 | 92.6% | 6.5 | 92.3% | 6.0 |
| Allerton Closed | 94.6% | 6.4 | 93.9% | 6.2 | 92.9% | 6.1 | 91.9% | 6.5 | 91.6% | 6.0 |
| Aintree Closed | 93.6% | 6.4 | 95.3% | 6.1 | 92.8% | 6.3 | 91.4% | 6.5 | 92.2% | 6.1 |

The impact on the district performance of the proposed changes is also slight. All of the districts are predicted to remain above the 90% target in all scenarios.

Outcome of FIRs test

Based upon the predicted performance of each scenario, the only recommendation is that in this instance the decision where to site the retained appliance should be based upon other factors present at each location which may more readily identify a suitable location. There is no option tested which delivers a distinctly better performance based solution to the other options.

Financial Considerations

a) Revenue Costs

The table below sets out the current budgets for each of the three stations under consideration:-

| | Current Budgets £ | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Aintree | Allerton | Kensington |
| Employees | 977,750 | 964,450 | 985,150 |
| Premises | 33,050 | 40,750 | 54,200 |
| Transport | 9,400 | 15,200 | 16,700 |
| Supplies and Services | 1,850 | 4,250 | 2,650 |
| Income | (1,300) | (500) | (400) |
| Total | 1,020,750 | 1,024,150 | 1,058,300 |
| Non employee/Non Transport Total costs | 33,600 | 44,500 | 56,450 |

Members should note that:-

- The majority of costs relate to the staff employed at the building.
- There is little difference between the actual running costs of the building which are predominantly made up of rates and utilities costs
- The costs do not include day to day repairs. These are generally low value and budgets are moved from a central pot when expenditure is actually incurred. Expenditure is slightly higher at Aintree and Allerton on such repairs historically as they are older buildings
- The main reason for a slightly higher cost at Kensington is because it is a newer building with larger community facilities and hence a larger footprint and energy costs as well as a higher rates bill. (Newer buildings tend to have slightly larger rates bills)

b) Capital Investment needs

The Authority operates a medium term capital programme to invest in maintaining buildings. In addition there are further potential investment needs not yet in the capital programme As would be expected Aintree and Allerton are older buildings and there are some short to mid- term investment needs which are detailed below:-

Allerton

| | |
|---|----------|
| Replace appliance bay doors (front and/or rear) | £40-£70k |
| New fire escape | £15k |
| Works identified in Access audits | £40k |
| | £95-125k |

Possible further capital building estimated costs of £341k

Aintree

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Engine Floor | £25k |
| Replace roof | £35k |
| Training tower repairs | £15k |
| Repairs to appliance bay doors | £10k |
| Works identified in Access Audits | £27k |
| | £112k |

Possible further capital building estimated costs of £280k

Kensington

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Training tower | £80k |
| Works identified in Access audits | £25k |
| | £125k |

Possible capital investment need of £125k

Whilst older stations need immediate maintenance, the long term capital cost of fire stations is related to the number of stations assets the Authority has.

In overall terms Kensington has more modern, community focused facilities than the other two stations which are amongst the oldest in the stock.

- c) Potential for Capital Receipts ***This section contains EXEMPT information by virtue of paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972***

Observations

- Kensington is a new building and is the third most expensive station at £56k (although all building costs are similar). Kensington's overall incident count within the station ground has reduced over a three year period by 18% from 1137 to 929 although appliance mobilisations have increased due to the removal of second pumps from neighbouring stations
- Aintree is an aged building with no community facilities. It has seen a slight reduction in calls, excluding standby's, in the last 3 year period from 829 to 766. It has the lowest running costs but will have medium level maintenance costs in the short to medium term.
- Allerton has the smallest amount of incidents within the station area (1189) and for mobilisation of the appliance (1680). Mobilisations over the three year period have stayed fairly even with no major impact from the Service reducing the number of appliances, when standbys are discounted. It has slightly higher running costs and will have medium level maintenance costs in the short to medium term
- FIRS data identify Aintree as marginally the better option but figures shows that overall the difference is 0.6% between the three stations. If any of the stations was nominated it is predicted that there would be no effective difference upon future average attendance times, both calculate at 6.2 minutes. Overall performance figures and attendance times would remain inside the Service target of 10 minutes regardless of which was nominated

Recommendation

Nominate Allerton due to:-

- Least number of fire calls and lowest appliance movements
- Minimal impact on attendance times
- Age of building stock
- Lack of community and staff facilities