


Q1 1. Considering the risks, demand and vulnerability in the area and the financial position of Merseyside Fire and Rescue Authority, how reasonable do you think our Response proposals are (page 30-35)?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very reasonable	65.85%	27
Fairly reasonable	21.95%	9
Fairly unreasonable	7.32%	3
Very unreasonable	2.44%	1
Don't know	2.44%	1
TOTAL		41

#	IF YOU FEEL THIS PROPOSAL IS "UNREASONABLE" IN ANY WAY, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU THINK THAT:	DATE
1	Over resourced as it is , for instance Ambulance service is continually busy but Fire and rescue have capacity to get involved in all manner of activity . A joint model would be better with Fire fighters working as Paramedics and responding to (The very few) fires when required	3/30/2021 3:11 PM
2	Given the amount of time spent on dealing with fires and other relevant incidents it seems excessive.	3/22/2021 3:19 PM

Q2 2. Considering the risks, demand and vulnerability in the area and the financial position of Merseyside Fire and Rescue Authority, how reasonable do you think our Training and Development proposals are (page 33)?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very reasonable	65.85%	27
Fairly reasonable	26.83%	11
Fairly unreasonable	2.44%	1
Very unreasonable	4.88%	2
Don't know	0.00%	0
TOTAL		41

#	IF YOU FEEL THIS PROPOSAL IS "UNREASONABLE" IN ANY WAY, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU THINK THAT:	DATE
1	Again should be joint training with NWAS to allow fire fighters to work with Ambulance service.	3/30/2021 3:11 PM
2	Having attended the facility it is very modern compared with others in the country. There is a large volume of staff. It is co-located with NWAS HART which is sensible.	3/22/2021 3:19 PM

Q3 3. Considering the risks, demand and vulnerability in the area and the financial position of Merseyside Fire and Rescue Authority, how reasonable do you think our Prevention proposals are (page 36)?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very reasonable	60.98%	25
Fairly reasonable	34.15%	14
Fairly unreasonable	2.44%	1
Very unreasonable	0.00%	0
Don't know	2.44%	1
TOTAL		41

#	IF YOU FEEL THIS PROPOSAL IS "UNREASONABLE" IN ANY WAY, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU THINK THAT:	DATE
1	Don't think the risks are particular high for Fire and Rescue , if anything its currently over resource	3/30/2021 3:11 PM
2	Given that the number of fires in private dwelling is reducing it is reasonable to maintain staffing levels rather than increase them.	3/22/2021 3:19 PM

Q4 4. Considering the risks, demand and vulnerability in the area and the financial position of Merseyside Fire and Rescue Authority, how reasonable do you think our Protection proposals are (page 37)?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very reasonable	58.54%	24
Fairly reasonable	34.15%	14
Fairly unreasonable	2.44%	1
Very unreasonable	2.44%	1
Don't know	2.44%	1
TOTAL		41

#	IF YOU FEEL THIS PROPOSAL IS "UNREASONABLE" IN ANY WAY, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU THINK THAT:	DATE
1	As previous comment	3/30/2021 3:11 PM
2	You don't need to recruit more staff. You have lots of staff who could be trained in alternative roles. The fact that the fire service have used hundreds of their staff in non-fire related tasks during covid demonstrates that the organisation is overstaffed. The money could be better used to resource other organisations so they can do their role properly without the fire service getting involved during a crisis when they want to justify their existence.	3/22/2021 3:19 PM

Q5 5. Considering the risks, demand and vulnerability in the area and the financial position of Merseyside Fire and Rescue Authority, how reasonable do you think our Preparedness proposals are (page 38)?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Very reasonable	70.73% 29
Fairly reasonable	21.95% 9
Fairly unreasonable	0.00% 0
Very unreasonable	4.88% 2
Don't know	2.44% 1
TOTAL	41

#	IF YOU FEEL THIS PROPOSAL IS "UNREASONABLE" IN ANY WAY, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU THINK THAT:	DATE
1	For wildfires what type of appliance have you brought??	3/15/2021 12:49 PM

Q6 6. Considering the risks, demand and vulnerability in the area and the financial position of Merseyside Fire and Rescue Authority, how reasonable do you think our National Resilience proposals are (page 40)?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very reasonable	60.98%	25
Fairly reasonable	34.15%	14
Fairly unreasonable	0.00%	0
Very unreasonable	2.44%	1
Don't know	2.44%	1
TOTAL		41

#	IF YOU FEEL THIS PROPOSAL IS "UNREASONABLE" IN ANY WAY, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU THINK THAT:	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q7 7. Considering the risks, demand and vulnerability in the area and the financial position of Merseyside Fire and Rescue Authority, how reasonable do you think our Equality, Diversity and Inclusion objectives are (page 45 and appendix 2)?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Very reasonable	65.85% 27
Fairly reasonable	21.95% 9
Fairly unreasonable	2.44% 1
Very unreasonable	4.88% 2
Don't know	4.88% 2
TOTAL	41

#	IF YOU FEEL THIS PROPOSAL IS "UNREASONABLE" IN ANY WAY, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU THINK THAT:	DATE
	There are no responses.	


Q8 If you have any other comments concerning the MFRA Integrated Risk Management Plan, please complete the following:

Answered: 10 Skipped: 31

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Several mistakes, see below question 9	4/24/2021 1:18 PM
2	None	4/19/2021 9:28 AM
3	eee	4/14/2021 10:40 AM
4	I feel that alot of thought has gone into the risk management plan. The data that has been provided justifies the reasoning behind the plan and a good plan produced	4/10/2021 9:11 AM
5	Mersey Fire is massively overstaffed, especially at senior levels. This money could be better used on other services.	3/22/2021 3:19 PM
6	none	3/15/2021 12:49 PM
7	I note the proposal to reduce the ALPs to three which would include a new 43m ALP and Stinger appliance from the current four. Although the Stinger is an excellent piece of equipment it has no aerial rescue capabilities. I think therefore the ALPs should be kept to at least four. Also the provision of Engines and the proposed allocation which seems a very complicated system of operation, in particular a third on call Engine at some stations! As an example when Saughall Massie was proposed at the community meetings the then chief officer Dan Stephens stated their would be one whole time 24/7 Engine and one on call Engine (half hour response) by on call whole time fire fighters. This would compensate for the closure of West Kirby and Upton. The second Engine never appeared!! it's still a single Engine station. My whole point being how can you propose third and roving Engines when past promises were not kept.	3/5/2021 10:46 AM
8	does the service intend to still implement an increase in riders from 4 to 5 at all of its key stations. If it has already been done- will the service maintain this up to 2024.	3/2/2021 12:39 PM
9	Not sure if there is a typo on page 70 regarding the mobilisation of the HVP at Belle Vale, it states "deployed within 30 minutes". Given the fact Belle Vale is LLAR I would've thought it'd be immediately available for deployment as 30 mins could reach most areas of the county and obviously assist with a water supply at large-scale protracted incidents.	3/2/2021 12:15 PM
10	Is there scope for our service to complete our core (FREC or first aid equivalent) training with NWAS. Having recently completed a three day course to enable me to drive ambulances, I found that the skills I acquired in those three days, would be highly beneficial to my colleagues across the service. My reasoning would be purely to assist with the collaboration element of the IRMP, when the ambulance service may need our assistance and also the introduction of EMR. To do our core training and upskilling all with the same service. I believe would greatly assist with equipment familiarisation and better joint working inline with JESIP principles and also better working between both services for the future.	3/1/2021 2:40 PM

Q9 9. How easy was it to understand the Draft IRMP and our proposals?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very easy	65.85%	27
Fairly easy	31.71%	13
Fairly difficult	2.44%	1
Very difficult	0.00%	0
TOTAL		41

Q10 10. If you found the Draft IRMP difficult to read, please explain why you think that:

Answered: 4 Skipped: 37

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Several mistakes: * Page 19, line 2 - should read "twice as busy" not "twice a busy." * Page 24, - graphic covers the end of the first 4 lines. * Page 26 to Page 27 - The text in the blue box is in the wrong place, the text starts at the bottom of page 26 and continues onto the bottom of page 27, if these were actual separate pages the text on the bottom of page 27 would be in the wrong place, it needs to be at the top of page 27. * Page 48 - you mention "people attending our engagement meeting" and "checked them with the public" tell us how, when and where did this happen? * Page 48, Column 2, paragraph 7, line 1 - Should read "Understand the need for MFRS to deliver" not "understand the need to MFRS to deliver." * Page 50, column 1, line 1-2 - should read "preparing the 2021-24 IRMP has involved" not "preparing the 2021-24 has involved" * Page 50, column 2, line 1-2 - duplicated words "the" and "around" * Page 51, column 1, line 7 - space required between "entering" and "water" * Page 66, contact details, - should read "BY PHONE: 0151 296 4422" not "BY PHONE: 0151 396 4422."	4/24/2021 1:18 PM
2	None	4/19/2021 9:28 AM
3	n/a	3/15/2021 12:49 PM
4	I did not find the Draft IRMP difficult to read.	3/1/2021 2:40 PM


Q11 11. For the purpose of monitoring returns by Merseyside council area please provide your full home postcode, or business postcode if you are responding on behalf of an organisation:

Answered: 19 Skipped: 22

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	PR8 3ET	5/19/2021 2:33 PM
2	L3 1BP	5/19/2021 12:51 PM
3	L31 1BR	5/19/2021 11:05 AM
4	L30 4YD	5/4/2021 12:06 PM
5	L9 8BH	4/24/2021 1:18 PM
6	Wa95rg	4/10/2021 9:11 AM
7	SY1 2HJ	4/7/2021 5:10 PM
8	L37 6AB	4/1/2021 3:47 PM
9	L37 6AB	4/1/2021 3:46 PM
10	L3 4BL	3/30/2021 3:11 PM
11	L14 7AH	3/22/2021 3:19 PM
12	CH44 5SD	3/20/2021 1:57 PM
13	L174LA	3/16/2021 2:32 PM
14	L30 1QJ	3/15/2021 12:49 PM
15	CH44 3DX	3/12/2021 1:45 PM
16	CH62 9ER	3/5/2021 2:47 PM
17	L11 7AW	3/2/2021 12:38 PM
18	ch428la	3/1/2021 10:35 PM
19	L30 4YD	3/1/2021 2:40 PM

Q12 Gender:

Answered: 31 Skipped: 10




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Male	58.06%	18
Female	32.26%	10
Other	0.00%	0
Prefer not to say	9.68%	3
TOTAL		31

#	IF YOU PREFER TO USE YOUR OWN TERM, PLEASE SPECIFY HERE:	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q13 Which age group do you belong to?


Answered: 31 Skipped: 10



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Under 25	16.13%	5
25 - 34	25.81%	8
35 - 44	16.13%	5
45 - 54	12.90%	4
55 or above	25.81%	8
Prefer not to say	3.23%	1
TOTAL		31

Q14 Do you consider yourself to have a disability?(Under the Equality Act 2010 a person is considered to have a disability if he/she has a physical or mental impairment or illness such as HIV, cancer, diabetes, heart condition etc. which has a sustained and long term adverse effect on his/her ability to carry out normal day to day activities).


Answered: 31 Skipped: 10



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	16.13%	5
No	77.42%	24
Prefer not to say	6.45%	2
TOTAL		31

Q15 Ethnicity:

Answered: 31 Skipped: 10




Integrated Risk Management Plan 2021-24 consultation

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
White British	93.55%	29
White Irish	0.00%	0
Other White Background	0.00%	0
Mixed White & Black Caribbean	0.00%	0
Mixed White & Black African	0.00%	0
Mixed White & Asian	3.23%	1
Other Mixed Background	0.00%	0
Asian or Asian British - Indian	0.00%	0
Asian or Asian British - Pakistani	0.00%	0
Asian or Asian British - Bangladesh	0.00%	0
Any other Asian Background	0.00%	0
Black or Black British - African	0.00%	0
Black or Black British - Caribbean	0.00%	0
Any other Black Background	0.00%	0
Chinese	0.00%	0
Any other Ethnic Group	0.00%	0
Prefer not to say	3.23%	1
TOTAL		31

Q16 Status:

Answered: 31 Skipped: 10



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Elected Member	0.00%	0
Member of the public	41.94%	13
Representative of a business	3.23%	1
Member of Staff (MFRA)	32.26%	10
Representative of a community group	3.23%	1
Other	9.68%	3
Prefer not to say	9.68%	3
TOTAL		31