### **TREASURY MANAGEMENT INTERIM REPORT 2019/20**

### INTRODUCTION

- 1. The CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in Local Authorities has been adopted by the Authority. The Code requires that Treasury Management activities are subject to reports to Members. This report represents the interim report for 2019/20.
- 2. For each financial year the Authority sets a balanced budget so that cash income raised during the year is sufficient to meet all of its cash expenditure commitments. One of the key functions of the Authority's treasury management activity is to ensure that these cash flows are effectively managed, so that cash is available when it is needed. Surplus cash is invested having regard to risk, liquidity and yield.
- 3. A further key function of the treasury management activity is to ensure that the Authority has sufficient funds to pay for its capital and other investment plans. These capital plans, which are set out in the Capital Programme, identify the borrowing needs of the Authority over a longer time horizon than the current year. In managing its longer term cash flow requirements for capital expenditure the Authority will take out loans or alternatively use its cash flow surpluses in lieu of external borrowing. This latter practice is referred to as "internal borrowing". In managing its loans, it may at times be advantageous for the Authority to repay or restructure its borrowings to optimise interest payments or achieve a balanced debt portfolio.
- 4. Having regard to these activities, the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) defines treasury management as:

"The management of the Council's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."

- 5. As treasury management decisions involve borrowing and investing substantial sums of money, the Authority is exposed to potentially large financial risks, including the loss of invested funds and the revenue effect of changing interest rates. The identification, control and monitoring of risk are therefore integral elements of treasury management activity.
- 6. The strategy for the year was identified in the Treasury Management Strategy Statement 2019/20 and was contained within the Budget and Financial Plan report CFO/009/19 approved by the Authority at its meeting on 28th February 2019. The strategy covered the following areas:
  - (a) prospects for interest rates;
  - (b) capital borrowing and debt rescheduling;
  - (c) annual investment strategy;
  - (d) external debt prudential indicators;
  - (e) treasury management prudential indicators.
  - (f) performance indicators;
  - (g) treasury management advisers

- 7. The Treasury Management Interim Report considers actual treasury management performance up to September 2019.
- 8. **Executive Summary** of the key points on performance so far include:
  - No new borrowing has been arranged in the year or is expected to be arranged.
    - Borrowing of £0.265m has been repaid in the year, no further debt is due to be repaid in 2019/20.
    - Financial Investments at 30 September 2019 stood at £43.8m, with associated income of £0.076m compared to an annual budget target of £0.172m for the year.
    - The Bank of England (BOE) base rate has remained at 0.75% throughout the period.
    - Longer term Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) rates fell during the first half of the year by 0.57% from 2.44% at the start of the year to 1.87% at 30 September 2019.
    - On the 9<sup>th</sup> October HM Treasury announced that with immediate affect the PWLB margin over UK gilts would be increased from 80 bps to 180 bps, thereby increasing the cost of new loans by 1%.
    - Treasury Management activity for 2019/20 has been carried out in compliance with the relevant codes and statutes and within the borrowing and treasury management limits approved by the Authority as part of the budget setting process in February 2019.

# PROSPECTS FOR INTEREST RATES

- 9. After the August 2018 increase in Bank Rate to 0.75%, the Bank of England monetary Policy Committee (MPC) has put any further action on hold. This year, 2019, has been one of significant upheaval on the political front as Theresa May resigned as Prime Minister to be replaced by Boris Johnson on a platform of the UK leaving the EU on 31 October 2019, with or without a deal. However, MPs blocked leaving on that date and the EU has agreed an extension to 31 January 2020. In addition, a general election has been called for 12 December. Given the uncertainty about the result of the general election and what MPs could afterwards decide, any interest rate forecasts are subject to material change as this situation evolves. If Parliament agrees to a deal on 31 January then it is possible that growth could recover relatively guickly. The MPC could then need to address the issue of whether to raise the Bank Rate at some point in the coming year when there is little slack left in the labour market that could cause wage inflation to accelerate; this would then feed through into general inflation. On the other hand, if there was a no deal Brexit and there was a significant level of disruption to the economy, then growth could weaken even further than currently: the MPC would then be likely to cut Bank Rate in order to support growth. However, with the Bank Rate still only at 0.75%, the MPC has relatively little room to make a big impact and it would probably suggest that it would be up to the Chancellor to provide help to support growth by way of a fiscal boost by e.g. tax cuts, increases in the annual expenditure budgets of government departments and services and expenditure on infrastructure projects, to boost the economy
- 10. PWLB rates and gilt yields have continued to experience levels of volatility linked to geopolitical and emerging market developments. PWLB rates fell for longer term loans by

0.57% during the first half of the financial year. The table below shows the spread of interest rates during the first six months of the year.

|         | 1 Year   | 5 Year  | 10 Year | 25 Year | 50 Year |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Low     | 1.37%    | 1.21%   | 1.33%   | 1.93%   | 1.77%   |
| Date    | 03/09/19 | 03/9/19 | 03/9/19 | 03/9/19 | 03/9/19 |
|         |          |         |         |         |         |
| High    | 1.78%    | 1.93%   | 2.27%   | 2.78%   | 2.61%   |
| Date    | 17/4/19  | 17/4/18 | 17/4/19 | 17/4/19 | 03/5/19 |
|         |          |         |         |         |         |
| 30/9/19 | 1.48%    | 1.27%   | 1.47%   | 2.03%   | 1.87%   |
| Average | 1.60%    | 1.57%   | 1.83%   | 2.41%   | 2.27%   |

(figures do not include 20bps certainty rate discount)

11. However, shortly after the end of the financial quarter on the 9<sup>th</sup> October 2019 HM Treasury suddenly announced that with immediate affect the PWLB margin above UK gilts would be increased from 80 bps to 180 bps. This announcement increased the borrowing costs on newly arranged loans from PWLB by 1%. At the same time the Treasury increased the lending limit of the PWLB from £85bn to £95bn. There has been speculation that the increase in rate was designed to halt the pace at which the new limit would be reached following record levels of new loan issuance by the PWLB in the months of August and September.

## CAPITAL BORROWINGS AND DEBT RESCHEDULING

- 12. The borrowing requirement comprises the expected movements in the Capital Financing Requirement and reserves plus any maturing debt which will need to be re-financed. The Authority does not envisage that any new long term borrowing will be required in 2019/20.
- 13. Current PWLB lending terms have severely constrained the option to generate savings via debt rescheduling. A significant rise in long term interest rates is required before rescheduling of debt is viable. However, interest rate structures are continually monitored for opportunities to generate savings from debt rescheduling. Any rescheduling that takes place will be reported to Members in monitoring reports.

## ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

- 14. The investment strategy for 2019/20 set out the priorities as the security of capital and liquidity of investments. Investments are made in accordance with DCLG Guidance and CIPFA Code of Practice. Investments are made in sterling with an institution on the counterparty list and for a maximum of one-year duration.
- 15. Investments have been made with various counterparties including UK and foreign banks with higher credit ratings, the larger Building Societies, "nationalised" banks and AAA rated money market funds. This diversity has enabled reasonable returns in a low interest rate environment. In the period 1st April to 30 September 2019 the average rate

of return achieved on average principal available was 0.79 %. This compares with an average seven day deposit (7 day libid) rate of 0.57 %.

16. The credit ratings and individual limits for each institution within the categories of investments to be used by the Authority in 2019/20 are as follows:

| UK Government (including gilts and the DMADF)          | Unlimited |
|--|-----------|
| UK Local Authorities (each)                            | Unlimited |
| Part Nationalised UK banks                             | £4m       |
| Money Market Funds (AAA rated)                         | £3m       |
| Enhanced Money Market (Cash) Funds (AAA rated)         | £3m       |
| Ultra-Short Duration Bond Funds (AAA rated)            | £3m       |
| UK Banks and Building Societies (A- or higher rated)   | £2m       |
| Foreign banks registered in the UK (A or higher rated) | £2m       |

- 17. No limits on investments with the UK Government and Local Authorities have been set because they are considered to be of the highest credit quality and are essentially risk free. The limits placed on other categories reflect some uncertainty and marginally higher risk profile of the institutions within those categories.
- 18. The Authority had investments of £43.8m as at 30th September 2019, (this included a £30.1m firefighters' pension grant received in July that will be utilised in the year):

| Institution                       | Credit | Credit     | Bank /     | Building  | Local      | Average  |
|-----------------------------------|--------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| insulution                        | Rating | MM Fund*   | Other      | Society   | Authority  | Interest |
|                                   |        | £          | £          | £         | £          | %        |
| Aberdeen Global                   | AAA    | 3,000,000  |            |           |            | 0.75     |
| Blackrock                         | AAA    | 2,400,000  |            |           |            | 0.70     |
| Federated Investors               | AAA    | 2,800,000  |            |           |            | 0.75     |
| JP Morgan Sterling Liquidity Fund | AAA    | 3,000,000  |            |           |            | 0.64     |
| LGIM (Legal & General)            | AAA    | 3,000,000  |            |           |            | 0.72     |
| Morgan Stanlety                   | AAA    | 3,000,000  |            |           |            | 0.67     |
| Bank of Scotland (HBOS)           | А      |            | 2,000,000  |           |            | 1.25     |
| Close Brothers                    | А      |            | 2,000,000  |           |            | 1.25     |
| Goldman Sachs                     | А      |            | 2,000,000  |           |            | 0.66     |
| HSBC (MFRS Deposit Account)       | А      |            | 2,000,000  |           |            | 0.50     |
| Santander                         | А      |            | 2,000,000  |           |            | 0.75     |
| Sumitomo                          | А      |            | 2,000,000  |           |            | 0.79     |
| Coventry BS                       |        |            |            | 2,000,000 |            | 0.91     |
| Cumberland BS                     |        |            |            | 1,000,000 |            | 1.00     |
| Leeds BS                          |        |            |            | 2,000,000 |            | 0.69     |
| Newcastle BS                      |        |            |            | 1,000,000 |            | 1.25     |
| Nottingham BS                     |        |            |            | 1,000,000 |            | 1.15     |
| Skipton BS                        |        |            |            | 1,000,000 |            | 0.92     |
| West Bromwich BS                  |        |            |            | 1,000,000 |            | 1.10     |
| Cherwell DC                       |        |            |            |           | 2,000,000  | 0.83     |
| Lancashire CC                     |        |            |            |           | 2,000,000  | 1.05     |
| Salford CC                        |        |            |            |           | 1,600,000  | 0.63     |
|                                   |        |            |            |           |            | 0.86     |
| Totals                            |        | 17,200,000 | 12,000,000 | 9,000,000 | 5,600,000  |          |
| Total Current Investments         |        |            |            |           | 43,800,000 |          |

wide range of credit worthy institutions.

## **EXTERNAL DEBT PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS**

19. The external debt indicators of prudence for 2019/20 required by the Prudential Code were set in the strategy as follows:

Authorised limit for external debt:£70 millionOperational boundary for external debt:£59 million

Against these limits, the maximum amount of debt that was reached in the period April to September 2019 was £37.6 million.

### TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS

20. The treasury management indicators of prudence for 2019/20 required by the Prudential Code were set in the strategy as follows:

#### a) Interest Rate Exposures

| Upper limit on fixed interest rate exposures:    | 100% |
|--|------|
| Upper limit on variable interest rate exposures: | 50%  |

The maximum that was reached in the period April to September 2019 was as follows:

| Upper limit on fixed interest rate exposures:    | 100% |
|--|------|
| Upper limit on variable interest rate exposures: | 0%   |

#### b) Maturity Structure of Borrowing

Upper and lower limits for the maturity structure of borrowing were set and the maximum and minimum that was reached for each limit in the period April to September 2019 was as follows: -

| Maturity Period                | Upper<br>Limit | Lower<br>Limit | Maximum<br>Actual | Minimum<br>Actual |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Under 12 months                | 50%            | 0%             | 1%                | 0%                |
| 12 months and within 24 months | 50%            | 0%             | 1%                | 1%                |
| 24 months and within 5 years   | 50%            | 0%             | 9%                | 8%                |
| 5 years and within 10 years    | 50%            | 0%             | 0%                | 0%                |
| 10 years and above             | 90%            | 0%             | 90%               | 90%               |

#### c) Total principal sums invested for periods longer than 364 days

The limit for investments of longer than 364 days was set at £2 million for 2019/20. One investment of £1m for 365 days was placed in the first half of 2019/20.

### 21. **PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

The Code of Practice on Treasury Management requires the Authority to set performance indicators to assess the adequacy of the treasury function over the year.

These are distinct historic indicators, as opposed to the prudential indicators, which are predominantly forward looking.

22. The indicators for the treasury function are:

Borrowing – the indicator is the average rate of actual borrowing compared to the average available for the period of borrowing. However, there has been no borrowing in the period April to September 2019.

Investments – Internal returns compared to the 7 day LIBID rate. The return in the period April to September 2019 was 0.22 % above the benchmark.

# TREASURY MANAGEMENT ADVISORS

- 23. The treasury management service is provided to the Authority by Liverpool City Council. The terms of the service are set out in an agreed Service Level Agreement. The Council employs treasury management advisors appointed under a competitive procurement exercise who provide a range of services which include: -
  - Technical support on treasury matters, capital finance issues.
  - Economic and interest rate analysis.
  - Debt services which includes advice on the timing of borrowing.
  - Debt rescheduling advice surrounding the existing portfolio.
  - Generic investment advice on interest rates, timing and investment instruments.

- Credit ratings/market information service comprising the three main credit rating agencies.

24. Whilst Liverpool City Council and its advisors provide the treasury function, ultimate responsibility for any decision on treasury matters remains with the Authority.

## CONCLUSION

25. Treasury Management activity in 2019/20 has been carried out in compliance with the relevant Codes and Statutes and within the borrowing and treasury management limits set by the Authority under the prudential code.